THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

And

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1415 of 2005

DATED:05.08.2005

Between:

Allam Venkatarao @ Venkateswararao,

S/o Ramamurthy, aged 29 years,

R/o Oguru (V), Kandukur (M), Prakasam District.

and another

… Appellants.

AND

The State of A.P., Rep. by its Principal Secretary,

Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad,

& 3 others.

… Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT APPEAL No.1415 OF 2005

JUDGMENT: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

We do not propose to express any opinion, whatsoever, on any of the contentions urged by the learned counsel for the appellants so far as entitlement of the appellants herein for the assignment of the land in question and also with regard to validity of the order passed by the 3rd respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur Division, Kandukur, Prakasam District, as well as the 2nd respondent - Joint Collector/Additional District Magistrate, Prakasam District, Ongole, cancelling the assignment initially granted in favour of the appellants as early as in the year 2001. The fact remains that the appellants availed the remedy of revision by filing a revision petition together with an application seeking stay of the order passed by the 2nd respondent before the

1st respondent-State of A.P., represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The gravamen of the complaint is that the 1st respondent did not pass any order in the stay petition, and in the meanwhile, the appellants apprehend their dispossession from the land in question, in which, they are, admittedly, in possession ever since the year 2001.

Interest of justice would be met by directing the

1st respondent to dispose of the revision petition, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of

ten (10) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It shall be open to the 1st respondent to pass appropriate orders on the revision petition preferred by the appellants and dispose of the same, in accordance with law. In the meanwhile, *status quo* with regard to possession of the land in question existing as on today shall be maintained.

The order of the learned single Judge is accordingly modified to the limited extent.

The Writ Appeal is accordingly disposed of without any order as to costs.

_____

B. SUDERSHAN REDDY, J.

Date:05.08.2005

_____

RAMESH RANGANATHAN, J.

NOTE:

Furnish C.C. of the order by 06-08-2005.

(**B/O**)

PV

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

And

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

-

WRIT APPEAL No.1415 of 2005

(Judgment of the Division Bench delivered

by Hon'ble Sri Justice B. Sudershan Reddy)

DATED:05.08.2005